CAUSE NO. CR. JAN 18 2017		
STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE DISTRICT CORRES Higher County		
* HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS		
MOTION FOR COURT TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE BRADY VIOLATIONS BY		
TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:		
NOW COMES, Defendant herein, and files this motion for Court to Determine Possible Brady Violations pertaining to Jane Doe 10/19/16 "Outcry" and as grounds therefore would show the court the following:		
 On 10 January 2017, Assistant District Attorney provided a copy of CPS Records which included updated records into December 2016. 		
2. On pages 326 and 327 of the 12/22/16 printout of CPS Records, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, CPS agents and document that "criminal investigator" had interviews with Jane Doe. In one of those interviews, Jane Doe is alleged to have made a new allegation of sexual abuse against Defendant in October 2016.		
3. On 31 October 2016, Assistant District Attorney for trial. Trial was set for 19 December 2016.		
4. On 6 December 2016, Defendant's counsel received a copy of a letter from CPS stating that an allegation of abuse dated to 10/19/16 was 'ruled out'. Defendant's counsel sent a copy via email to Assistant District Attorney In the email thread, Ms. 4 expressed some surprise at the CPS letter.		
5. On 7 December 2016, Assistant District Attorney announced 'ready' for trial. By the afternoon, Assistant District Attorney reported that she had spoken to CPS and the letter pertained to a new allegation involving a 'counselor'.		
6. Defendant is requesting, in a separate instrument, for an order to obtain the Jane Doe's		

counselor's records.

e upon Ms. for her notes, calendars,
to Jane Doe of the months of
t for possible in camera inspection.
sistant District Attorney
ected to said notice of Outcry on the basis that
d CPS record is neither indicted nor noticed as
Attorney be ordered to
ssages, and emails pertaining to Jane Doe
vember, and December 2016.
ict Attorney be placed
19/16 allegation to assure that she is neither
Vithout an examination of Ms.
mination of the accuser/witness may not be
Disciplinary Rules (3.02, 3.03, 3.04, 3.09, 4.01,
nd 39.14 (a)-(n)), and Procedural and
ution and U.S. Constitution.
FENDANT respectfully prays that the court
istrict Attorney notes,
pof
016 be preserved in the form that the Court
ssistant District Attorney
subsequent disclosures to Defendant.
Respectfully submitted,
Law Office of Lennard K. Whittaker
P.O.Box 720876
McAllen, TX 78504 956 821 9918
fax: 866 596 6190

Lonnard K. Whittaker

teksus@mae.com

SBT 24008274 Attorney for

Certificate of Service

I, Lennard K. Whittaker, affirm that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument has been delivered to:

Hidalgo County District Attorney 100 E. Cano

Edinburg, TX 78539

ia.co.hidalgo.tx.us @da.co.hidalgo.tx.us

Lennard K. Whittaker

CAUSE NO. CR

STATE OF TEXAS	* IN THE DISTRICT COURT
v,	* 370th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	* HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS
ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOT BRADY VIOLATIO	CION FOR COURT TO DETERMINE POSSIBLE ONS BY
and numbered cause Defendant's Motion	, 2017, came to be heard in the above-entitled for Court to Determine Possible Brady Violations by that Defendant's motion is hereby:
	Attorney to preserve ALL District Attorney notes, pertaining to Witness Jane Doe 10/19/16 'Outcry'.
The Court instructions on method	of preservation:
GRANTED, Assistant District At on day of, 2017, a of possible Brady violations pertaining to	at a.m./p.m. to give testimony on the subject
[] DENIED.	
Signed this day of	, 2017.
cc:	Judge Presiding
Law Office of Lennard K. Whittaker P.O. Box 720876 McAllen, TX 78504 956 821 9918 fax 866 596 6190 teksus@mac.com	
Hidalgo County District Attorney 100 E. Cano Edinburg, TX 78539 da.co.hidalgo.tx.us	•
Ada.co.hidalgo.tx.us	6